Discovering Path MTU black holes in the Internet using RIPE Atlas

Maikel de Boer Jeffrey Bosma

25 September 2012



Introduction

- Black holes
 - "A sphere of influence into which or from which communication or similar activity is precluded."

~ Wiktionary.org

 In layman's terms: what goes in is forever lost



- The Internet is full of black holes
 - Many possible causes
 - -E.g., misconfiguration, bugs in software, etc.
 - We focus on Path MTU black holes

UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

Research Questions

Where on the Internet do Path MTU black holes occur?

Do Path MTU black holes occur more often on the IPv6-Internet compared to IPv4?





Concepts

4

- The Internet: enormous collection of links
- Maximum Transmission Unit (MTUs) on network interface
 - Limits the amount of data in packets
 - Two-way limit: sending and receiving
- Path MTU
 - Highest possible MTU for entire path
 - Determined by link with smallest MTU
- Internet Path MTU is commonly 1500 bytes
 - Not always the case
 - Requires Path MTU detection mechanism



Concepts Path MTU Discovery (PMTUD)





ŵ

Concepts Problem #1: ICMP PTB packet filtering





Concepts Problem #2: IP fragment filtering





NLnet

Labs



Ň

Concepts Experimental setup (cont.)

- Combination of option 1 and 2
 - Capture packets on interface of measurement node
 - Other nodes only need to log success/failure information of measurements
 - Con: no triangulation as possible with option 3
- RIPE Atlas infrastructure probes to actively measure ICMP PTB packet and IP fragment filtering





RIPE Atlas

- Internet measurement system
- Driven by probes
 - USB-powered embedded devices
 - Low volume, non-intrusive measurements
- Default measurement functionality:
 - Ping, Traceroute, DNS, SSL



- Currently around 1800 probes up and running
 - Located primarily in the RIPE NCC service region
 - But also other regions around the globe

RIPE Atlas Worldwide network of probes



Ŵ

11

Research Questions

Where on the Internet do Path MTU black holes occur?

Do Path MTU black holes occur more often on the IPv6-Internet compared to IPv4?

Experimental Setup ICMP PTB packet filtering

Running: Apache 2.2

NLnet

abs

Ŵ

Experimental Setup IP fragment filtering

Ŵ

NLnet

_abs

ICMP PTB filtering IPv4 – MTU 1500

UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

Ň

Results ICMP PTB filtering IPv6 – MTU 1500

UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

Ň

ICMP PTB filtering percentages – MTU 1500

Ň

Results ICMP PTB filtering IPv4 – MTU 1280

UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

Ň

Results ICMP PTB filtering IPv6 – MTU 1280

UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

Ň

ICMP PTB filtering percentages – MTU 1280

UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

Ň

Fragment filtering IPv4 – MTU 1500

Ŵ

NLnet

Labs

Results Fragment filtering IPv6 – MTU 1500

Ŵ

NLnet

Labs

Fragment filtering percentages – MTU 1500

Ŵ

NLnet

_abs

Results Fragment filtering IPv4 – MTU 576

Ŵ

NLnet

Labs

Results Fragment filtering IPv6 – MTU 1280

Ŵ

NLnet

Labs

Fragment filtering percentages – MTU 576/1280

Ŵ

NLnet

_abs

Hop counting

NLnet Labs

Ň

Where do IPv4 ICMP PTB packets get filtered?

Bad	Total	Error percentage	IP address
69	1126	6.1%	145.145.19.190
53	810	6.5%	145.145.80.65
16	311	5.1%	145.145.80.73
13	214	6.1%	77.67.72.109
7	199	3.5%	109.105.98.33
2	60	3.3%	62.40.124.157
• • •			
2	2	100.0%	203.50.6.78
2	2	100.0%	203.50.6.89
2	2	100.0%	61.10.0.118
2	2	100.0%	80.231.159.10
2	2	100.0%	84.116.238.49

UNIVERSITEIT VAN AMSTERDAM

Ŵ

Where do IPv6 ICMP PTB packets get filtered?

Bad	Total	Error percentage	IP address		
3	391	0.8%	2001:610:158:1916:145:100:99:17		
2	292	0.7%	2001:610:e08:64::65		
2	131	1.5%	2001:7f8:1::a500:6939:1		
1	9	11.18	2001:470:0:217::2		
1	6	16.7%	2001:470:0:67::2		
1	46	2.2%	2001:470:0:3f::1		
No routers with 100% failure rate					

Where do IPv4 fragments get filtered?

Bad	Total	Error percentage	IP address
84	1369	6.1%	145.145.19.190
56	983	5.7%	145.145.80.65
28	381	7.3%	145.145.80.73
14	256	5.5%	109.105.98.33
21	247	8.5%	77.67.72.109
9	62	14.5%	62.40.124.157
•••			
3	3	100.0%	212.188.22.158
2	2	100.0%	146.97.33.137
2	2	100.0%	158.64.16.189
2	2	100.0%	174.35.131.38
2	2	100.0%	188.230.128.10

Ŵ

NLnet

abs

Where do IPv6 fragments get filtered?

Bad	Total	Error percentage	IP address
181	435	41.6%	2001:610:158:1916:145:100:99:17
138	322	42.9%	2001:610:e08:64::65
74	146	50.7%	2001:7f8:1::a500:6939:1
28	53	52.8%	2001:470:0:3f::1
27	91	29.7%	2001:610:e08:72::73
21	53	39.6%	2001:948:2:6::1
•••			
6	6	100.0%	2001:610:f01:9012::14
4	4	100.0%	2001:16d8:aaaa:5::2
4	4	100.0%	2001:7f8:1::a503:9326:1
4	4	100.0%	2a01:348::10:0:1
4	4	100.0%	2a01:348::27:0:1

Ŵ

Conclusion

- ICMP PTB packets get dropped
 - More for IPv4 but nobody notices
 - Complete path is 1500 bytes and DF bit helps
- IP fragments get dropped
 - More in IPv6
 - DNS servers do not respond to ICMP PTB
- Path MTU black holes
 - Occur on the edges of the Internet, not in the core

Recommendations

- Recommendations for Filtering ICMPv6 Messages in Firewalls – RFC4890
- Don't filter IPv4 ICMP Type 3, Code 4
- Packetization Layer Path MTU Discovery RFC4821
- Don't filter fragments (problematic for DNSSEC)
- Don't reduce MTU on interface
- No MSS clamping

Acknowledgements

- NLnet Labs
 - Benno Overeinder
 - Willem Toorop
- RIPE NCC
 - Philip Homburg
 - Andreas Strikos
 - Vesna Manojlovic
 - Emile Aben

Questions?

Maikel de Boer – maikel.deboer@os3.nl Jeffrey Bosma – jeffrey.bosma@os3.nl

Read our full report @ www.nlnetlabs.nl/publications/

